

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/693,690 10/20/2000		10/20/2000	Kia Silverbrook	NPA064US	8647	
24011	7590	07/28/2006		EXAMINER		
		ESEARCH PTY LT	PORTER, RACHEL L			
393 DARLIN BALMAIN,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTRALIA				3626		
				DATE MAILED: 07/28/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/693,6	SILVERBROOK ET AL.		ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Rachel L.	Porter	3626					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	idress				
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum so period for reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. tatutory period will apply and were will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO rent, however, may a reply be rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro blication to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this o NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status									
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>01 May 2006</i> .							
		2b)☐ This action is r	ion-final.						
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-31 and 34-44</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-31, and 34-44</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
		· ·		ved in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
			nod doplos not reserv						
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal		O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	F10/30/00)	6) Other:	. Som Approducti (CT)	U 102j				

Application/Control Number: 09/693,690 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the Applicant's response filed 5/1/06. Claims 1-5,8-31, and 34-44 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 8-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites " [a] method of providing insurance services via a form having printed thereon information related an insurance service and a plurality of tags, each tag containing coded data indicative of an identity of form and its own location on the form..." in lines 5-6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation (the word "its") in the claim. It is unclear what is being reference with this term. (an identity, the tags, etc.)

Claims 1-5, and 8-26 inherit the deficiencies of claim 1 through dependency and are therefore also rejected.

It is noted that claims 1, 4 and 5 have been amended. However, these amendments do not overcome the 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> rejections previously set forth. The steps/ functions performed in method and by the sensing device remain unclear to the

Art Unit: 3626

Examiner. For example, in claim 1, the second step is somewhat narrative and does not clearly outline what steps are being performed. While reading claim 1, it would appear that only 3 steps are performed: 1) printing a form containing information relating to an insurance service; 2) receiving in a computer system indicating data from a sensing device regarding the identity of the form and a position of the sensing device relative to the form; and 3) identifying, in the computer system and from the indicating data, at least one parameter relating to insurance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-5,8-31, and 34-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montagna et al (USPN 4,899,292) and in view of Luchs (USPN 4,831,526).

As per claims 1-5 and 8-26, Montagna teaches a method for using a computer system including a sensing device to receive and input information onto a form containing a plurality of tags. (col. 5, lines 22-36; Figure 2 (ref. 41); col. 8, lines 1-6). The tags (which may be part of a hyper page) allow information to be placed in a

Application/Control Number: 09/693,690

Art Unit: 3626

particular location on the form. Moreover, the touch screen feature of the system (col. 8, lines 8-46), allows the sensing device to input data in the encoded sections of the forms relative to the location tags.

Montagna further discloses a system and method wherein the position of the sensing device relative to physical media/document is record (xy coordinates) and stored within the computer system (Figure 11, (col. 11, lines 27-44)

Montagna discloses further discloses capturing information related to the field of insurance, but does not expressly disclose the invention as it relates to printing and identifying information on forms related to specific types of insurance services.

Luchs teaches a method wherein forms relating to insurance services are generated. (Figures 1, 2E-2F; col. 14, lines 46-15; col. 17, line 31-col.18, line 10)

Luchs further discloses a method in which customer data, quote information, insurance policy type, deductible, and claim information may be included as parameters on the insurance forms. (Tables in col. 7-8). At the time of the Applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Montagna with the teaching of Luchs to use a computer system with sensing devices to gather information from forms related to various types of insurance services. One would have been motivated to include these features to provide an automated system which properly positions information on regarding various insurance services into the appropriate forms, thereby minimizing the need for repetitive entry of insurance data for each insurance application (See Luchs: col. 2, lines 6-18) and producing documents that are tailored to an individual client (See Luchs: col. 2, lines 21-25).

Application/Control Number: 09/693,690

Art Unit: 3626

As per claims 27-31, and 34-44, Montagna teaches a computer system including a sensing device to receive and input information onto a form containing a plurality of tags. (col. 5, lines 22-36; Figure 2 (ref. 41); col. 8, lines 1-6). The tags (which may be part of a hyper page) allow information to be placed in a particular location on the form. Moreover, the touch screen feature of the system (col. 8, lines 8-46), allows the sensing device to input data in the encoded sections of the forms relative to the location tags.

Montagna further discloses a system and method wherein the position of the sensing device relative to physical media/document is record (xy coordinates) and stored within the computer system (Figure 11, (col. 11, lines 27-44)

Montagna discloses further discloses capturing information related to the field of insurance, but does not expressly disclose the invention as it relates to printing and identifying information on forms related to specific types of insurance services.

Luchs teaches a method wherein forms relating to insurance services are generated. (Figures 1, 2E-2F; col. 14, lines 46-15; col. 17, line 31-col.18, line 10)

Luchs further discloses a method in which customer data, quote information, insurance policy type, deductible, and claim information may be included as parameters on the insurance forms. (Tables in col. 7-8). At the time of the Applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Montagna with the teaching of Luchs to use a computer system with sensing devices to gather information from forms related to various types of insurance services. One would have been motivated to include these features to provide an automated system which

Art Unit: 3626

properly positions information on regarding various insurance services into the appropriate forms, thereby minimizing the need for repetitive entry of insurance data for each insurance application (See Luchs: col. 2, lines 6-18) and producing documents that are tailored to an individual client (See Luchs: col. 2, lines 21-25).

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5,8-31, and 34-44 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel L. Porter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RP

ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER